

Linda Wong, Esq.  
WONG FLEMING, P.C.  
821 Alexander Road, Suite 200  
Princeton, New Jersey 08543  
Tel: (609) 951-9520  
Fax: (609) 951-0270  
lwong@wongfleming.com

Laurel Pyke Malson (*pro hac vice*)  
Aryeh S. Portnoy (*pro hac vice*)  
Amanda Shafer Berman (*pro hac vice*)  
CROWELL & MORING LLP  
1001 Pennsylvania Ave., NW  
Washington, DC 20004  
(202) 624-2576  
lmalson@crowell.com  
aportnoy@crowell.com  
aberman@crowell.com

*Attorneys for The Trustees of Princeton University, a not-for-profit educational corp. of the State of New Jersey (incorrectly referenced in the Complaint as "Princeton University"), Michele Minter, Regan Hunt Crotty, Joyce Chen Shueh, Walter Wright, Cole M. Crittenden, Kathleen Deignan, W. Rochelle Calboun, Jill S. Dolan, and Sarah-Jane Leslie*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

JOHN DOE,

*Plaintiff,*

v.

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, et  
al.,

*Defendants.*

Civil Action No. 3:19-cv-07853 BRM-TJB

**STIPULATION TO EXTEND  
ANSWER DEADLINE AND  
PROPOSED ORDER**

**Stipulation to Extend Answer Deadline and Proposed Order**

Defendant the Trustees of Princeton University<sup>1</sup> (“Princeton” or “the University”) and Plaintiff John Doe hereby stipulate to extend the time for Princeton to file its Answer to the Amended Complaint until March 14, 2023. The reason for this Stipulation are as follows:

1. On February 6, 2023, the Court denied Princeton’s Renewed Motion to Dismiss the Title IX Claims in the Amended Complaint (ECF No. 88). Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a)(4)(A), Defendant therefore must answer the Amended Complaint by February 21, 2023.
2. Defendants must coordinate with the University in order to answer the allegations in the 250-paragraph Amended Complaint (ECF No. 33-1), which will take more than the time currently remaining under Rule 12(a)(4)(A). Additional time to answer the Amended Complaint is warranted for this reason.

For the foregoing reason, the parties hereby STIPULATE to extend the time to Answer the Amended Complaint until March 14, 2023.

---

<sup>1</sup> The only claim against the individual Defendants named in the Amended Complaint was dismissed in the Court’s December 16, 2020 Order granting in part and denying in part Plaintiffs’ Motion to Dismiss the State Law Claims. See ECF No. 55 (dismissing Count 7, the negligence claim against the individual Defendants).

Submitted by:

/s/ Linda Wong

Linda Wong, Esq.  
WONG FLEMING, P.C.  
821 Alexander Road, Suite 200  
Princeton, NJ 08543-3663  
Tel. (609) 951-9520  
Fax (609) 951-0270  
lwong@wongfleming.com

Laurel Pyke Malson, Esq. (*pro hac vice*)  
Aryeh Portnoy, Esq. (*pro hac vice*)  
Amanda Shafer Berman, Esq. (*pro hac vice*)  
CROWELL & MORING LLP  
1001 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20004  
Tel: (202) 624-2576  
LMalson@crowell.com  
APortnoy@crowell.com  
ABerman@crowell.com  
*Attorneys for Defendants*

/s/ Andrew T. Miltenberg (with permission)

Andrew T. Miltenberg, Esq.  
Helen Setton, Esq.  
363 Seventh Avenue, Fifth Floor  
New York, New York 10001  
T. (212) 736-4500  
amiltenberg@nmlplaw.com  
hsetton@nmlplaw.com  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Entered this 14<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2023

  
Hon. Tonianne J. Borgiovanni, U.S.M.J.